

# Kirupakaran v Ibrahim

---



No Substantial Judicial Treatment

## Court

King's Bench Division (Technology & Construction Court)

## Judgment Date

11 June 2026

## Where Reported

[2026] EWHC 1429 (TCC)

[2026] 6 WLUK 224

[Judgment](#)

## Subject

**Civil procedure**

## Other related subjects

Negligence; Construction law

## Keywords

Damage to property; Development; Duty of care; Particulars of claim; Party walls; Striking out; Summary judgments; Surveyors

## Judge

[O'Farrell J](#)

## Counsel

For the claimant: In person.

For the first, second and third defendants: Nigel Lewers.

For the fourth defendant: James Divecha.

For the fifth defendant: Philip Byrne.

For the sixth defendant: Nicholas Higgs.

## Solicitor

For the first, second and third defendants: Clyde & Co LLP.

For the fourth defendant: RakLAW Solicitors Ltd.

For the fifth defendant: Kennedys Law LLP.

For the sixth defendant: DWF Law LLP.

## Case Digest

### Summary

The court granted applications by all six defendants to strike out and/or obtain summary judgment against a litigant in person who had claimed approximately £1.4 million in damages arising from structural damage to his property allegedly caused by construction works at the adjoining property. Despite having been given an opportunity to replead the claim following an unless order, the claimant's amended particulars of claim still failed to identify the nature or scope of the duties alleged to be owed by each defendant, specific details of the alleged breaches of duty, how any such breaches caused his property to become unsafe, or how any loss and damage resulted from those failures. No legally recognisable claim against any defendant was disclosed.